

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,676		08/01/2003	Gerald Johnson	D2993	2698
43471	3471 7590 12/01/2006		EXAMINER		
		UMENT CORPO	TAYLOR, NICHOLAS R		
	HOME SOLUTIONS BUSINESS OF MOTOROLA, INC. 101 TOURNAMENT DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HORSHAM	, PA 19044			2141	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/632,676	JOHNSON, GERALD	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Nicholas R. Taylor	2141	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Au</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-10,21 and 22 is/are pending in the additional state of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10,21 and 22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine.	vn from consideration.		
10)⊠ 11)□ Priority u 12)□	The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 August 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Extender 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objection. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.	
* \$	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 6ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority 	s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage	
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 6/3/05 and 9/5/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/632,676 Page 2

Art Unit: 2141

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-10, 21, and 22 have been presented for examination and are rejected.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed August 30th, 2006, have been fully considered but they are deemed not persuasive.
- 3. In the remarks, applicant argued in substance that:
- (A) Prior art of Oz does not teach transmitting timing critical data directly to a media access control (MAC) layer or maintaining a timing relationship throughout the MAC layer to a scheduler. Oz discussing moving packets, but if the packets are received out of order they will remain in the same out of order sequence.

As to point (A), the examiner cited to col. 20, lines 13-65 as teaching the limitation "transmitting the timing critical data directly to a media access control layer" in the previous office action. In the cited portion of Oz, timing critical data such as MPEG transport packets are received over the network and transmitted directly to a media access control layer (Oz, col. 3, line 52 to col. 4, line 5). The timing relationship of the streams is maintained throughout transmission in Oz's system and errors are corrected to help maintain this order in order to enable usable retransmissions (e.g., see col. 17,

Art Unit: 2141

lines 26-41 where the Program Clock Reference (PCR) field is re-stamped to correct for

jitter and other delays that may affect the timing relationship).

(B) Prior art of Oz does not teach a MAC receiver, as the words are not used in col. 12, lines 14-21 and col. 21, lines 22-26 of Oz.

As to point (B), Oz teaches a video bridge that receives packets "over data channels, such as TCP/IP Ethernet communication lines" (col. 10, lines 49-53; see also col. 3, line 63 to col. 4, line 5). Ethernet is data link layer (level 2) protocol of the Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) model that uses MAC receiver/transmitters to communicate information.

(C) Prior art of Oz does not teach a decoder, as the word is not used in col. 11, lines 54-62 and element 132 of fig. 1 of Oz. Additionally, Oz does not teach a depacketizer, as the word is not used in col. 20, lines 14-65 of Oz.

As to point (C), Oz teaches a decoder coupled to a MAC receiver and a television that converts the timing critical data into a television signal (col. 11, lines 54-62, and specifically, the MAC layer conversion that takes place at elements 130 and 132 of fig.1). Oz further teaches a depacketizer for depacketizing streams in order to analyze and convert incoming packets to a destination format (e.g., see the depacketized analysis of fig. 9 or the explanation of col. 20, lines 34-65).

Application/Control Number: 10/632,676 Page 4

Art Unit: 2141

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 10, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Oz et al. (U.S. Patent 6,434,141).
- 6. As per claim 1, Oz teaches a method for transmitting timing critical data over a network that is also carrying Internet Protocol traffic (Oz, col. 10, lines 43-53) comprising:

transmitting the timing critical data directly to a media access control layer;
maintaining a timing relationship of the timing critical data throughout the media
access control layer to a scheduler; and (Oz, col. 20, lines 13-65)

scheduling transmission of the timing critical data by using information embedded into the timing critical data (Oz, col. 10, lines 53-65; col. 21, lines 22-26 and fig. 9) and the Internet Protocol traffic in a single scheduler (Oz, col. 10, lines 43-53).

7. As per claim 2, Oz teaches the system further wherein the timing critical data comprises an MPEG video data stream (Oz, col. 21, lines 27-41).

Application/Control Number: 10/632,676

Art Unit: 2141

Page 5

8. As per claim 4, Oz teaches an apparatus to receive timing critical data from a first network and to transmit the timing critical data over one or more other networks to one or more client devices comprising:

a video bridge to couple to the first network, said video bridge receiving the timing critical data, maintaining a timing relationship of the timing critical data and (Oz, col. 20, lines 13-65)

scheduling transmission of the timing critical data over the one or more other networks based upon information embedded into the timing critical data (Oz, col. 10, lines 53-65; col. 21, lines 22-26 and fig. 9).

9. As per claim 5, Oz teaches the system further wherein the video bridge comprises:

a MAC receiver outputting the timing critical data; and (Oz, col. 12, lines 14-21) one or more MAC transmitters, one for each of the one of more client devices, each MAC transmitter coupled to the MAC receiver, receiving the timing critical data and converting the timing critical data to a format suitable for transmission over one of the one or more other networks (Oz, col. 21, lines 5-11; see also col. 20, lines 14-65).

10. As per claim 6, Oz teaches the system further wherein the video bridge comprises:

Application/Control Number: 10/632,676

Art Unit: 2141

a first physical layer interface to couple to the first network and coupled to the MAC receiver; and (Oz, col. 12, lines 14-21; see fig. 6, item 268)

one or more second physical layer interfaces, each second physical layer interface coupled to one of the one or more MAC transmitters, and each second physical layer interface to couple to said one of the one or more other networks Oz, col. 21, lines 5-11; fig. 6, item 278; fig. 8, items 316 and 318).

11. As per claim 7, Oz teaches the system further wherein each of the one or more MAC transmitters comprises:

a timing circuit to adjust timing resulting from any filtering and to add additional timing information to adjust for latency and jitter introduced by said one of the one or more other networks; (Oz, col. 17, lines 26-40)

a packetizer coupled to the timing circuit to create packets or frames that meet requirements of said one of the one or more other networks; and (Oz, col. 20, lines 13-65)

a scheduler coupled to the packetizer to schedule access to said one of the one or more other networks (Oz, col. 10, lines 53-65; col. 21, lines 22-26 and fig. 9).

12. As per claim 10, Oz teaches the system further wherein the one or more client devices comprises at least two client devices, and the video bridge transmits an identical copy of the timing critical data to each of the at least two client devices (Oz, col. 18, lines 24-33).

Application/Control Number: 10/632,676 Page 7

Art Unit: 2141

13. As per claim 21, Oz teaches an apparatus for transmitting timing critical data from a first network over one or more other networks to one or more client devices comprising:

a video bridge coupled to the first network and receiving the timing critical data, maintaining a timing relationship of the timing critical data, (Oz, col. 20, lines 13-65)

scheduling transmission of the timing critical data over the one or more other networks based upon information embedded in the timing critical data (Oz, col. 10, lines 53-65; col. 21, lines 22-26 and fig. 9),

and outputting a television signal; and a television coupled to the video bridge to receive the television signal from the video bridge (Oz, col. 11, lines 54-62 and e.g. fig. 1 item 132).

14. As per claim 22, Oz teaches the system further wherein the video bridge comprises:

a MAC receiver outputting the timing critical data; (Oz, col. 21, lines 22-26 and fig. 9)

a decoder coupled to the MAC receiver and the television and converting the timing critical data to a television signal; and (Oz, col. 11, lines 54-62 and e.g. fig. 1 item 132)

Art Unit: 2141

a MAC transmitter receiving the timing critical data and converting the timing critical data to a format suitable for transmission over the network (Oz, col. 20, lines 13-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 16. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oz et al. (U.S. Patent 6,434,141), further in view of Thompson ("IEEE 1394: Changing the way we do Multimedia Communications").
- 17. As per claim 3, Oz teaches the above, yet fails to further teach the system wherein the timing critical data specifically comprises 1394 traffic including isochronous video data. Thompson teaches using 1394 to transmit video data (Thompson, "Digital Video" paragraph).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have combined Thompson and Oz to provide the 1394 video data transfer of Thompson in the system of Oz, because doing so would support the high speed transfer of digital video data (Thompson, "Digital Video" paragraph).

Art Unit: 2141

- 18. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oz et al. (U.S. Patent 6,434,141), further in view of Noronha et al. (U.S PGPub 2003/0223466).
- 19. As per claim 8, Oz teaches the system further wherein each of the one or more MAC transmitters comprises:

a PID filter to receive the timing critical data and to filter out programs that are not required by one of the one or more client devices and outputting the filtered timing critical data to the timing circuit; and (Oz, col. 17, lines 4-14).

However, Oz fails to teach a queue coupled to the scheduler to buffer packets or frames prior to transmission over said one of the one or more other networks.

Noronha teaches a multiplexing system for transport stream packets that uses a queue to buffer packets (Noronha, abstract; paragraph 0063).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have combined Oz and Noronha to provide the packet system of Noronha in the system of Oz, because doing so would provide Oz with a reusable transport packet storage location for situations where the network isn't ready for new packet transmission (Noronha, paragraph 0063).

20. As per claim 9, Oz teaches the system further comprising one or more additional MAC receivers, one for each of the one or more client devices, each of the one or more additional MAC receivers disposed between one of the one or more other networks and

one of the one or more client devices, wherein each of the one or more additional MAC receivers comprises:

a depacketizer to convert incoming packets to a format suitable for the timing critical data; (Oz, col. 20, lines 14-65)

a timing circuit coupled to the depacketizer to restore the timing critical data based on bits added by a timing circuit in the one or more MAC transmitters; and (Oz, col. 17, lines 26-40).

However, Oz fails to teach a queue coupled to the depacketizer to buffer incoming packets from said one of the one or more other networks before passing the incoming packets to the depacketizer.

Noronha teaches a multiplexing system for transport stream packets that uses a queue to buffer packets (Noronha, abstract; paragraph 0063).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have combined Oz and Noronha to provide the packet system of Noronha in the system of Oz, because doing so would provide Oz with a reusable transport packet storage location for situations where the network isn't ready for new packet transmission (Noronha, paragraph 0063).

Conclusion

21. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas Taylor whose telephone number is (571) 272-3889. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am to 5:30pm, with alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3718.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,676

Art Unit: 2141

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nicholas Taylor Examiner Art Unit 2141

> RUPAL DHARIA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 12